

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143  
Honorable T.S. Ellis, III  
Trial: Oct. 19, 2021

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL  
DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE  
EXCLUSION OF THE TESTIMONY OF DR. TYLER WHITNEY**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Zackary Ellis Sanders, by counsel, moves this Honorable Court, pursuant to Local Crim. R. 49, for entry of an Order permitting the entirety of his Memorandum in Support of Motion to Reconsider the Exclusion of the Testimony of Dr. Tyler Whitney, including the exhibit, to be filed under seal. Sealing is necessary because it entirely discusses confidential medical information.

**II. ARGUMENT**

The memorandum in support, and its exhibit, should be sealed because it contains confidential medical information that the public would not, under any other circumstances, be entitled to see. It is true that judicial proceedings are generally open to the public and that there exists, while not a First Amendment right, a common law right of public access to judicial records and documents. *Media Gen. Operations, Inc. v. Buchanan*, 417 F.3d 424, 429 (4th Cir. 2005) (citing *Balt. Sun Co. v. Goetz*, 886 F.2d 60, 64-65 (4th Cir. 1989)). The presumption of the right of access can be rebutted if countervailing interests heavily outweigh the public interests in access. *Virginia Dep't of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004). The party

seeking to overcome the presumption bears the burden of showing some significant interest that outweighs the presumption. *Id.* at 575. Ultimately the decision to seal is a matter best left to the sound discretion of the district court. *Washington Post*, 386 F.3d at 575. With respect to medical records in particular, the Eastern District of Virginia has previously held that such records are entitled to privacy protection and may be filed under seal. *James v. Service Source, Inc.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86169 at \*12 (Nov. 21, 2007 E.D. Va.).

Counsel will provide the government and this Court separate unredacted versions of Defendant's memorandum.

### III. CONCLUSION

Defendant respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order providing that the entirety of his Memorandum in Support of Motion to Reconsider the Exclusion of the Testimony of Dr. Tyler Whitney, including the exhibit, shall be permanently filed under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Jonathan Jeffress (#42884)  
Jade Chong-Smith (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KaiserDillon PLLC  
1099 Fourteenth St., N.W.; 8th Floor—West  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 683-6150  
Facsimile: (202) 280-1034  
Email: [jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com](mailto:jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com)  
Email: [jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com](mailto:jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com)

/s/

Nina J. Ginsberg (#19472)  
Zachary Deubler (#90669)  
DiMuroGinsberg, P.C.  
1101 King Street, Suite 610  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Telephone: (703) 684-4333  
Facsimile: (703) 548-3181

Email: nginsberg@dimuro.com  
Email: zdeubler@dimuro.com

/s/

Mark J. Mahoney (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Harrington & Mahoney  
70 Niagara Street, 3rd Floor  
Buffalo, New York 14202-3407  
Telephone: 716-853-3700  
Facsimile: 716-853-3710  
Email: mjm@harringtonmahoney.com

/s/

H. Louis Sirkin (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
600 Vine Street, Suite 2700  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
Telephone: (513) 721-4450  
Facsimile: (513) 721-0109  
Email: hls@santenhughes.com

*Counsel for Defendant Zackary Ellis Sanders*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021, the foregoing was served electronically on the counsel of record through the US District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Electronic Document Filing System (ECF) and the document is available on the ECF system.

/s/ Jonathan Jeffress  
Jonathan Jeffress